

Stigma Notes On The Management Of Spoiled Identity

Erving Goffman

Stigma Notes On The Management Of Spoiled Identity Erving Goffman Downloaded from evoluir.abar.org.br by Estrada & Montgomery

UNDERSTANDING STIGMA: NOTES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF SPOILED IDENTITY BY ERVING GOFFMAN

In 1963, sociologist Erving Goffman published a work that would fundamentally reshape how scholars, clinicians, and everyday people understand the experience of social marginalization. Titled *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity*, this book remains one of the most cited and influential texts in modern sociology. At its core, Goffman's work asks a deceptively simple question: what happens when a person possesses an attribute that marks them as different, undesirable, or less than fully human in the eyes of society? The answer, as Goffman meticulously demonstrates, involves a complex and often painful process of identity negotiation, information control, and social performance. For anyone seeking to understand the mechanics of exclusion, prejudice, or the lived experience of being "othered," Goffman's insights are indispensable. This article explores the key concepts from *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity*, explains how stigma operates as a social process, and discusses the lasting relevance of Goffman's framework in contemporary life.

THE CORE PREMISE: STIGMA AS A SOCIALLY CONSTRUCTED REALITY

Goffman begins his analysis by defining stigma not as a fixed attribute of a person, but as a relationship between an attribute and a stereotype. In other words, stigma is not something a person *has*; it is something that society *applies*. An attribute that is deeply discrediting in one social context might be entirely neutral or even desirable in another. For example, a visible scar might be a mark of honor among warriors but a source of shame in a beauty pageant. Goffman's approach is resolutely interactionist, meaning he focuses on what happens when people meet face to face. The core problem of stigma, for Goffman, is not the attribute itself but the disruption it causes in social interaction. When a stigmatized person encounters a "normal" person, a moment of uncertainty, awkwardness, and potential rejection arises. The smooth flow of everyday life is broken, and both parties must work to manage the situation.

The Three Types of Stigma Identified by Goffman

In *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity*, Goffman categorizes the sources of stigma into three broad types. These categories help clarify the diverse ways in which individuals can become marked as different.

- **Abominations of the Body:** This category refers to physical deformities, disabilities, disfigurements, or visible marks that deviate from societal norms of the ideal body. Goffman includes everything from a cleft lip to a missing limb, from severe burns to a clubfoot. The physical stigma

- is immediately visible, making it impossible to conceal in most social encounters. This visibility profoundly shapes the management strategies available to the individual.
- **Blemishes of Individual Character:** This second type encompasses perceived flaws in a person's moral character, willpower, or personal history. Goffman includes mental illness, addiction, a prison record, homosexuality (in the context of the 1960s), unemployment, suicidal attempts, and radical political behavior. These stigmas may or may not be visible, but they are often inferred or discovered. The key feature is that society views these conditions as signs of a weak or defective character, suggesting the individual is somehow responsible for their own spoiled identity.
 - **Tribal Stigmas of Race, Nation, and Religion:** The third category refers to stigmas inherited through lineage or group membership. Race and ethnicity are the most obvious examples. Also included are religion, nationality, and caste. These stigmas are often passed down through generations and can be deeply embedded in a society's history and structure. Like abominations of the body, tribal stigmas are often visible, but they function through a logic of group identity and ancestry rather than individual failing.

Goffman is careful to note that these categories are not rigidly separated. An individual may experience multiple forms of stigma simultaneously, and the lines between them can blur. A person with a physical disability, for example, may also be perceived as having a character blemish if society assumes their condition results from laziness or poor choices.

THE CONCEPT OF A "SPOILED IDENTITY" EXPLAINED

The most powerful and enduring concept in Goffman's work is the idea of the "spoiled identity." When an individual is stigmatized, their identity is tainted or "spoiled" in the eyes of others. They are reduced in the mind of the observer from a whole and usual person to a tainted, discounted one. This is not a mere insult; it is a fundamental social devaluation. The person is no longer seen as fully human or fully entitled to the normal courtesies and opportunities of social life.

Goffman makes a crucial distinction between two types of stigmatized individuals: the **discredited** and the **discreditable**. This distinction hinges on visibility and knowledge. The discredited individual possesses a stigma that is already known about or immediately apparent to others. A person in a wheelchair, someone with a severe facial burn, or a person of a marginalized racial background in a discriminatory society is discredited. Their challenge is managing the tension that arises from being seen as different. They must navigate the awkwardness, pity, hostility, or invasive curiosity of others.

The discreditable individual, by contrast, possesses a stigma that is not immediately visible or known. This includes conditions like a history of mental illness, a criminal record, a hidden disability, or a concealed sexual orientation. For the discreditable person, the central problem is information management: who to tell, how to tell them, and whether to conceal the stigma altogether. The fear of being discovered and discredited haunts every social

interaction. The discreditable person lives in a state of constant vigilance, asking themselves: "If they knew, would they still treat me this way?"

This distinction between the discredited and the discreditable remains one of Goffman's most useful analytical tools. It explains why stigma can be experienced as a chronic source of anxiety, even when no overt discrimination occurs. The threat of being spoiled is always present.

INFORMATION CONTROL AND THE DYNAMICS OF PASSING

For the discreditable individual, the primary strategy for managing a spoiled identity is **passing**. Passing involves concealing the stigma and presenting oneself as a "normal" person. Goffman describes passing as a form of social performance, one that requires careful stagecraft. The individual must manage information, control who knows what, and maintain a consistent front. They may avoid certain topics, fabricate cover stories, or deliberately distance themselves from discrediting associations.

Passing is exhausting and risky. It requires constant attention to detail and a strong memory. A single slip-up can expose the individual and shatter the carefully constructed normalcy. Moreover, passing often involves a sense of dishonesty and self-betrayal. The individual must hide a fundamental part of themselves to be accepted. Goffman notes that those who pass often live in fear of being "found out," and this fear can be as damaging as the stigma itself.

However, passing is not the only strategy. Another approach is **covering**, which is distinct from full concealment. Covering refers to the effort a stigmatized person makes to downplay or minimize the obtrusiveness of their stigma when it is already known. For example, a person in a wheelchair may work extra hard to be cheerful and non-demanding, so that others are not made uncomfortable by their presence. A person with a stammer may try to speak very carefully to avoid drawing attention to their speech. Covering is a form of accommodation that seeks to reduce tension, but it also places the burden of managing the interaction squarely on the shoulders of the stigmatized individual.

Goffman also describes the phenomenon of **voluntary disclosure** or "coming out." In some cases, individuals choose to reveal their stigma deliberately. This can be a way of seizing control of the narrative, reducing the anxiety of hiding, and challenging societal prejudices. However, voluntary disclosure also carries risks, including outright rejection, discrimination, and being stereotyped. The decision to disclose or conceal is rarely a simple one; it is a strategic calculation made in specific social contexts.

THE SOCIAL DYNAMICS OF STIGMA MANAGEMENT

Goffman's analysis extends beyond the individual to examine the social world that the stigmatized person must navigate. He introduces the concept of the "own" and the "wise." The "own" refers to those who share the same stigma. Among their own kind, stigmatized individuals can relax, be themselves, and find solidarity and support. They do not have to pass or cover. The "wise" refers to those who are not themselves stigmatized but who are sympathetic, informed, and trusted. This includes family members, close friends, professionals, and allies who have been granted access to the stigmatized person's world. The wise can offer crucial emotional support and practical assistance, but they can also be sources of patronizing behavior or over-identification.

The stigmatized person often lives a divided social life, moving between the world of the "normals," the world of the "own," and the world of the "wise." Each world demands a different presentation of self. Goffman masterfully captures the oscillation and tension involved in moving across these boundaries. A person with a hidden mental health condition, for example, may act "normal" at work, seek support from a support group of peers (the own), and confide in a select few family members (the wise). Managing these different audiences requires considerable social skill and energy.

CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE: STIGMA IN THE 21ST CENTURY

Although *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity* was published over sixty years ago, its insights remain remarkably relevant to contemporary social issues. Mental health stigma, for example, is still a significant barrier to seeking help. Many people with depression, anxiety, or bipolar disorder remain discreditable individuals, carefully managing who knows about their condition. The rise of social media has created new arenas for both passing and disclosure. People can carefully curate their online identities, hiding aspects of themselves that might be stigmatized, or they can find communities of "own" through hashtags and online forums.

Race and ethnicity continue to be tribal stigmas in many societies, shaping experiences of policing, employment, housing, and healthcare. The concept of "covering" is particularly relevant to discussions of code-switching, where individuals from marginalized racial groups adjust their speech, dress, and behavior to minimize the visibility of their difference in predominantly white settings. The burden of making others comfortable, as Goffman noted, falls disproportionately on the stigmatized.

Body stigma has evolved, with new forms emerging around weight, tattoos, cosmetic surgery, and gender non-conformity. The fat acceptance movement, for instance, challenges the idea that a larger body is a blemish of character, arguing instead that weight stigma is a form of social injustice. Similarly, the disability rights movement has reframed disability not as an individual tragedy but as a social construct in which environments and attitudes disable people. These movements echo Goffman's core insight that stigma is not located in the individual but in the relationship between the individual and society.

The digital age has also introduced novel forms of stigma management. People with criminal records face the challenge of explaining gaps in employment history to potential employers. Those with chronic illnesses navigate the decision of whether to disclose their condition to coworkers. The internet has made it easier to find information about others, exposing stigmas that might previously have remained hidden. At the same time, online communities offer unprecedented opportunities for support and advocacy among the stigmatized.

CRITIQUES AND LIMITATIONS OF GOFFMAN'S FRAMEWORK

While Goffman's work is foundational, it is not without its limitations. Some critics argue that Goffman focuses too heavily on micro-level interactions and pays insufficient attention to the structural and institutional forces that produce and maintain stigma. A person is not just stigmatized in face-to-face encounters; they are stigmatized by laws, policies, economic systems, and media representations. Structural racism, for example, operates through housing discrimination, mass

incarceration, and unequal schooling, not just through individual prejudice. Goffman's interactionist lens can underestimate the power of these larger systems.

Additionally, Goffman's analysis has been criticized for its relatively passive view of the stigmatized individual. While he describes strategies of passing and covering, he says less about collective resistance, social movements, and the political struggle

to destigmatize certain conditions. The pride movements associated with disability, LGBTQ+ identity, and mental health recovery explicitly challenge the very idea that these attributes are grounds for shame. Goffman's work provides tools for understanding the experience of stigma, but it offers a less developed account of how people fight back.

Nevertheless