

One Is Not Born A Woman One Becomes One

One Is Not Born A Woman One Becomes One

Downloaded from evoluir.abar.org.br by Washington & Cristopher

THE ENDURING POWER OF BEAUVOIR'S INSIGHT

The phrase **"One is not born a woman, one becomes one"** is arguably the most famous line from Simone de Beauvoir's 1949 magnum opus, *The Second Sex*. For over seventy years, this single sentence has served as a foundational cornerstone for feminist theory, gender studies, and our broader cultural understanding of identity. It is a provocation, a declaration, and an invitation to rethink everything we assume about the distinction between biological sex and the social category of womanhood. While often quoted, its full depth and radical implications are sometimes overlooked. This article will explore the origins, meaning, evolution, and enduring relevance of Beauvoir's powerful statement, examining why it continues to resonate so strongly in contemporary discussions about gender, identity, and human freedom.

To fully grasp the weight of the statement, we must first situate it within its philosophical context. Beauvoir was a leading existentialist philosopher, deeply influenced by the works of Jean-Paul Sartre. At its core, existentialism argues that **"existence precedes essence."** This means that there is no predetermined human nature or fixed blueprint for what a person "should" be. Instead, we are born into the world as conscious, free beings, and it is through our choices, actions, and projects that we define ourselves. We create our own essence. Beauvoir applied this radical freedom to the situation of women, and in doing so, she tore apart the notion that femininity is a natural, biological destiny.

DECONSTRUCTING THE "ETERNAL FEMININE"

Before Beauvoir, it was common to speak of a "woman's nature" as though it were a universal, timeless, and biological fact. Traits like nurturing, passivity, emotionality, and a domestic inclination were presented as inherent to the female sex. Beauvoir challenged this deeply ingrained assumption head-on. She argued that these traits are not biologically mandated but are instead the product of civilization, culture, and socialization. The category of "woman" is not a fixed reality; it is a situation, a condition that has been constructed by history, mythology, and social structures.

Beauvoir's key distinction, which would later be formalized as the **sex/gender distinction**, was between the biological female (sex) and the social woman (gender). One is born with a female body, but one is not born knowing how to be a woman in any particular society. The process of "becoming" a woman involves learning, performing, and internalizing the expectations, roles, and limitations that a patriarchal society assigns to female bodies. This includes everything from the clothes we are expected to wear, to the careers we are encouraged to pursue, to the emotional labor we are taught to provide. It is a lifelong apprenticeship.

THE PROCESS OF "BECOMING"

So, what does this process of "becoming" actually look like? If womanhood is not an essence but a construction, it is built through a series of subtle and overt forces. These forces begin at birth and continue throughout a woman's life, shaping her body,

her mind, and her sense of self.

Socialization and the Female Body

From the moment a child is assigned female at birth, the process begins. Pink blankets, dolls, and messages about being "pretty" and "nice" are just the start. Girls are often subtly discouraged from being too loud, too ambitious, or too physically rough. Their bodies are taught to take up less space. As they enter adolescence, the female body becomes the object of intense scrutiny. The onset of menstruation, the pressure to be attractive for the male gaze, and the constant policing of appearance all contribute to a sense of alienation from one's own body. Beauvoir argued that women learn to see themselves as the "Other," as objects defined primarily in relation to men. This is the core of the "becoming" process: learning to see oneself from the outside.

The Role of Myth and Culture

In *The Second Sex*, Beauvoir dedicates an entire section to the "myths" of femininity as they appear in literature, religion, and art. She shows how women have been consistently represented as mysterious, enigmatic, and threatening figures—the virgin, the mother, the seductress, the witch. These archetypes are not innocent; they serve to confine women within predefined roles. The myth of the "eternal feminine" suggests that there is a mysterious, essential quality to womanhood that men cannot understand. Beauvoir rejects this, arguing that it is a convenient fiction used to justify women's subordination. By calling these myths into question, she empowers women to see that their identity is not a mystery to be discovered, but a project to be created.

Performativity and Repetition

The philosopher Judith Butler later built upon Beauvoir's work with her theory of **gender performativity**. Butler argued that gender is not a stable identity or a source of internal truth. Rather, it is a performance that is created through the iterative repetition of social scripts. We "do" gender through our speech, gestures, clothing, and actions. A woman becomes a woman by repeatedly performing the acts that society has defined as feminine. This is not a conscious choice (like wearing a costume) but an unconscious and compulsory repetition that creates the illusion of a core identity. This idea radicalizes Beauvoir's insight: if gender is performative, it can be performed differently. There is room for subversion, parody, and resistance.

IMPLICATIONS FOR FEMINISM AND IDENTITY

The implications of the idea that "one is not born a woman, one becomes one" are profound and far-reaching. It fundamentally changed the trajectory of feminist thought and activism.

- **It Dismantles Biological Determinism:** The argument provides a powerful tool against the claim that women are “naturally” suited for certain roles (e.g., childrearing, domestic work) or “naturally” inferior in certain domains (e.g., leadership, mathematics). If these traits are social constructs, they can be changed.
- **It Opens the Door for Radical Change:** If womanhood is a situation that has been created, it can be re-created. This is an optimistic and liberating message. It empowers women to refuse the roles they have been assigned and to define themselves on their own terms.
- **It Highlights the Collective Nature of Oppression:** The process of “becoming” a woman is not an individual experience; it is a shared one. All women are subjected to similar social pressures and expectations. This shared experience creates the basis for collective political action and solidarity.
- **It Unsettles the Category of “Woman” Itself:** By arguing that there is no universal “woman,” Beauvoir opened the door for a more nuanced and intersectional feminism. There is no single way to be a woman, because the process of “becoming” is shaped by race, class, sexuality, and culture. A wealthy white woman and a poor Black woman experience the process of “becoming” in very different ways.

MODERN RELEVANCE AND CONTEMPORARY DEBATES

Beauvoir’s famous phrase remains incredibly potent today, perhaps even more so than when it was written. It is central to some of the most important and contentious debates in our current cultural landscape.

Transgender Identity and the Meaning of “Woman”

The most prominent arena where Beauvoir’s insight is applied today is in discussions about transgender identity. The idea that one is not born a woman but becomes one is often cited as a powerful framework for understanding the experience of trans women. If gender is a social construction and a performance, then the process of “becoming” a woman is not strictly tied to having a female body at birth. A trans woman transitions into womanhood through a deeply personal and social process of self-identification, social recognition, and, in some cases, medical intervention. Beauvoir’s philosophy provides a language to affirm that a trans woman is no less a “real” woman than a cisgender woman, because all women are made, not born. This interpretation, however, is also debated. Some radical feminists (often called TERFs) argue that Beauvoir’s framework depends on the biological difference of the female body, and that discarding this biological basis undermines the category of “woman” as a political class. This tension shows that Beauvoir’s work is not a settled text but a living and contested one.

Non-Binary and Genderqueer Identities

If gender is a process of “becoming” rather than a fixed state, it naturally follows that one might refuse to become a “woman” or a “man” at all. Non-binary, genderfluid, and agender identities

are a direct expression of the existentialist freedom that Beauvoir championed. These identities demonstrate that the binary categories of male and female are not exhaustive. They are social constructions that can be questioned, challenged, and transcended. For many non-binary people, Beauvoir’s work provides a philosophical justification for their lived reality. They are neither born as, nor do they become, a woman or a man. They are creating their own path, their own gender project.

The Persistence of Gender Roles

Despite significant progress, the process of “becoming” a woman in a patriarchal society is still fraught with pressure and limitation. The “motherhood mandate,” the “beauty myth,” the “confidence gap,” and the “double bind” for female leaders are all modern manifestations of the same ancient patterns. Beauvoir’s analysis is still a powerful tool for identifying and critiquing these forces. It reminds us that the fight for equality is not just about equal pay or representation; it is about the fundamental freedom to define oneself without the imposition of predetermined social scripts. The pressure to conform to a particular version of womanhood—whether as a career woman, a perfect mother, a sexually liberated figure, or a modest homemaker—is still immense. Beauvoir would argue that true liberation means choosing one’s own path, even if that path does not look like any conventional version of womanhood.

CRITICISM AND THE EVOLUTION OF THE IDEA

No major work of philosophy is without its critics, and *The Second Sex* has been subjected to important critiques, particularly from the perspectives of race, class, and intersectionality.

Many postcolonial and Black feminist scholars have pointed out that Beauvoir’s analysis, while groundbreaking, is largely centered on the experience of a white, middle-class, European woman. The process of “becoming” a woman is profoundly different for a Black woman living under the double oppression of racism and sexism, or for a woman in a colonized nation whose body and identity are shaped by different historical forces. The social construction of womanhood is not a single, universal process; it is multiple and differentiated. These critiques do not invalidate Beauvoir’s central insight, but they enrich and complicate it. They remind us that we must always ask: **“Becoming what kind of woman, under what conditions, and with what specific historical and cultural forces at play?”**

Furthermore, some have argued that Beauvoir’s existentialist emphasis on individual freedom and choice can underplay the brute material and physical realities of the female body. The experience of menstruation, pregnancy, and childbirth, for example, are not simply “social constructions.” They are biological events that shape lived experience and have been used as justification for oppression for millennia. The challenge for contemporary feminists is to hold both truths in tension: the biological body is real and matters, but the social meaning and significance attached to that body are constructed and can be changed.

CONCLUSION: THE UNFINISHED PROJECT

Simone de Beauvoir’s assertion that **“one is not born a woman, one**