

The First Seven Ecumenical Councils

The First Seven Ecumenical Councils

Downloaded from evoluir.abar.org.br by Koch & Esmeralda

THE FIRST SEVEN ECUMENICAL COUNCILS: FOUNDATIONS OF CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE

In the first few centuries after the life and ministry of Jesus Christ, the early Christian church faced a host of internal and external challenges. As the faith spread across the Roman Empire and beyond, questions about the nature of God, the person of Christ, and the boundaries of orthodoxy arose with increasing urgency. To address these critical issues, church leaders convened a series of ecumenical councils. These were not merely administrative meetings; they were pivotal moments of theological clarification and communal discernment. The First Seven Ecumenical Councils, held between the fourth and eighth centuries, shaped the core beliefs of Christianity that are still professed by billions of people today. Understanding these councils is essential for anyone seeking to grasp the historical and doctrinal roots of the Christian faith.

These seven councils are considered Ecumenical, meaning they were universal gatherings of bishops from across the known Christian world. They were convened primarily to resolve disputes over doctrine and to establish a unified statement of faith. The decisions made in Nicaea, Constantinople, Ephesus, and Chalcedon, among others, produced creeds and definitions that remain authoritative for most Christian denominations, including Eastern Orthodox, Roman Catholic, and many Protestant traditions. The journey from the First Ecumenical Council at Nicaea to the Seventh at Nicaea in 787 marks a period of intense theological development and occasional conflict. This article explores each of these councils in detail, outlining their historical context, key figures, major controversies, and lasting impact.

THE FIRST COUNCIL OF NICAEA (325 AD): DEFINING THE DIVINITY OF CHRIST

The Historical Context and the Arian Controversy

The first and perhaps most famous of the ecumenical councils was convened by the Roman Emperor Constantine in 325 AD in the city of Nicaea, near Constantinople. The primary catalyst for this council was the teaching of a popular Alexandrian presbyter named Arius. Arius argued that Jesus Christ, the Son of God, was a created being and not co-eternal with God the Father. He famously stated, "There was a time when he was not." This teaching, known as Arianism, threatened to divide the church at a time when Constantine sought religious unity for his empire. The controversy spread

rapidly, causing significant unrest among the laity and clergy alike.

Key Decisions and the Nicene Creed

Over 300 bishops attended the Council of Nicaea, most from the Eastern part of the empire. After intense debate, the council overwhelmingly rejected Arianism. The bishops affirmed that the Son is "homooousios" with the Father, a Greek term meaning "of the same substance" or "consubstantial." This single word became the cornerstone of Trinitarian orthodoxy. The council produced the original version of the Nicene Creed, a concise statement of faith that declared belief in "one Lord Jesus Christ, the only-begotten Son of God, begotten of the Father before all worlds, Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one substance with the Father." This creed established a clear boundary against Arian teaching.

Additional Canons and the Date of Easter

Beyond the theological definition, the First Council of Nicaea also addressed practical matters of church discipline. It issued twenty canons covering topics such as the ordination of clergy, the treatment of those who had lapsed during persecution, and the authority of major sees. One of its most lasting administrative decisions was the establishment of a unified method for calculating the date of Easter, ensuring that the most important feast of the Christian year would be celebrated on the same Sunday throughout the church. The council also affirmed the special authority of the bishops of Rome, Alexandria, and Antioch.

THE FIRST COUNCIL OF CONSTANTINOPLE (381 AD): COMPLETING THE TRINITY

The Challenge of the Pneumatomachi and Apollinarianism

The second ecumenical council was convened by Emperor Theodosius I in Constantinople in 381 AD. While the Council of Nicaea had dealt with the divinity of the Son, questions regarding the Holy Spirit remained unresolved. A group known as the Pneumatomachi, or "Spirit-fighters," denied the full divinity of the Holy Spirit, viewing him as a creature or a force rather than a person of the Godhead. Additionally, the teaching of Apollinaris of Laodicea, who argued that Christ had a human body and soul but a divine mind, threatened the full humanity of Christ. These were the primary theological

issues before the council.

Expanding the Creed and Affirming the Spirit

The 150 bishops gathered at Constantinople reaffirmed the Nicene faith and expanded the creed to include a more detailed section on the Holy Spirit. They declared belief in "the Holy Spirit, the Lord, the Giver of Life, who proceeds from the Father, who with the Father and the Son together is worshiped and glorified." This phrase explicitly affirmed the divinity and personhood of the Holy Spirit, completing the doctrine of the Trinity as one God in three persons. The council also condemned Apollinarianism, affirming that Christ possessed a complete human nature, including a human rational soul, alongside his divine nature. The creed produced here is the one most commonly recited today as the Niceno-Constantinopolitan Creed.

Establishing the Primacy of Constantinople

In a move with significant political and ecclesiastical implications, the council issued a canon stating that "the Bishop of Constantinople shall have the primacy of honor after the Bishop of Rome, because Constantinople is New Rome." This canon elevated the see of Constantinople above Alexandria and Antioch, setting the stage for future tensions between the Eastern and Western churches. This decision reflected the political reality of the empire, where Constantinople had become the imperial capital, but it also sowed seeds of later disagreement.

THE COUNCIL OF EPHESUS (431 AD): DEFENDING THE THEOTOKOS

The Nestorian Controversy

The third ecumenical council was held in Ephesus in 431 AD under the Emperor Theodosius II. The central figure in this controversy was Nestorius, the Bishop of Constantinople. Nestorius objected to the title Theotokos, meaning "God-bearer" or "Mother of God," as applied to the Virgin Mary. He preferred the term Christotokos, or "Christ-bearer," arguing that Mary gave birth only to the human Jesus, not to the divine Logos. His opponents, led by Cyril of Alexandria, saw this as dividing Christ into two separate persons, a position that undermined the unity of Christ and the reality of the Incarnation.

The Hasty Condemnation and Aftermath

The Council of Ephesus was marked by dramatic speed and political maneuvering. Cyril arrived with a large contingent of bishops and, without waiting for the arrival of the Syrian bishops who

supported Nestorius, opened the council and condemned Nestorius. The council affirmed the title Theotokos, declaring that Mary is truly the Mother of God because the child she bore was God incarnate. The council also reaffirmed the Nicene Creed as the standard of faith and forbade the composition of any new creed. Nestorius was deposed and exiled, but his followers continued for centuries, establishing the Church of the East in Persia and beyond. The council firmly established the unity of Christ's person, upholding that in Jesus Christ, God and man are one.

THE COUNCIL OF CHALCEDON (451 AD): DEFINING THE TWO NATURES OF CHRIST

The Eutychian and Monophysite Controversies

Just two decades after Ephesus, a new controversy erupted over the nature of Christ. Eutyches, a monk from Constantinople, took the opposite extreme from Nestorius. He argued that after the Incarnation, Christ had only one nature, a divine nature that absorbed his humanity. This teaching, known as Monophysitism, was condemned by the Patriarch of Constantinople, Flavian, but Eutyches found support from Dioscorus of Alexandria. In response, Emperor Marcian and his wife Pulcheria called a new council, which met in Chalcedon in 451 AD. This council is the largest and most well-documented of the early ecumenical gatherings.

The Chalcedonian Definition

The Council of Chalcedon produced one of the most precise and influential theological statements in Christian history, known as the Chalcedonian Definition. This document declared that Christ is "one person in two natures," without confusion, without change, without division, without separation. This careful language avoided the extremes of both Nestorianism, which separated the natures, and Eutychianism, which merged them. The definition affirmed that Jesus Christ is fully God and fully man, two natures united in one divine person, the Word of God.

Schism and Legacy

Despite its careful formulation, the Council of Chalcedon did not bring universal peace. Many churches in Egypt, Syria, and Armenia rejected the definition, accusing it of introducing a division in Christ. These churches, often called Oriental Orthodox or Non-Chalcedonian churches, continue to exist today, preserving their own ancient traditions. The council also elevated the see of Constantinople further, granting it jurisdiction over the dioceses of Pontus, Asia, and Thrace, and confirming its primacy of honor after Rome. Chalcedon remains a cornerstone of Christological orthodoxy for the vast majority of Christians worldwide.

THE SECOND COUNCIL OF CONSTANTINOPLE (553 AD): REAFFIRMING THE FAITH

The Three Chapters Controversy

The fifth ecumenical council was convened by Emperor Justinian I in Constantinople in 553 AD. The immediate issue was the "Three Chapters," a collection of writings by three deceased bishops: Theodore of Mopsuestia, Theodoret of Cyrrhus, and Ibas of Edessa. These writings contained Nestorian sympathies and had been condemned by Justinian, but many bishops in the West, particularly Pope Vigilius, were reluctant to condemn deceased figures who had not been condemned at Chalcedon. The controversy threatened to undermine the authority of Chalcedon itself.

Affirming Chalcedon and Condemning Nestorianism

The council ultimately condemned the Three Chapters and their authors, while explicitly upholding the authority of the Council of Chalcedon. This was a careful balancing act designed to satisfy the Monophysite-leaning parties in the East without repudiating the Chalcedonian Definition. The council issued fourteen anathemas, or statements of condemnation, which clarified the Orthodox position on the Incarnation and the unity of Christ. The Second Council of Constantinople reiterated that Christ, though having two natures, is a single person, the Divine Word. The council helped to reunite parts of the Eastern church, although it caused a temporary schism with some Western bishops.

THE THIRD COUNCIL OF CONSTANTINOPLE (680-681 AD): AFFIRMING THE TWO WILLS OF CHRIST

The Monothelite Controversy

The sixth ecumenical council was held in Constantinople from 680 to 681 AD. The theological issue this time concerned the will of Christ. In an attempt to find a compromise with the Monophysites, some theologians proposed that Christ had two natures but only one will, a position known as Monothelitism. This teaching was promoted by Emperor Heraclius and Patriarch Sergius of Constantinople, and it gained considerable support for several decades. Opponents, led by Maximus the Confessor and Pope Martin I, argued that if Christ had two natures, he must also have two wills, a human will and a divine will, united in perfect harmony.

The Condemnation of Monothelitism

After years of controversy and persecution, the Third Council of Constantinople decisively condemned Monothelitism and its chief proponents. The council declared that Jesus Christ possesses two natural wills and two natural energies, without division, without change, without separation, without confusion. The human will of Christ was not abolished or absorbed by his divine will but rather submitted to it freely and perfectly. The council also condemned Pope Honorius I posthumously for his initial support of Monothelitism, a rare instance of a pope being formally condemned by an ecumenical council. This council completed the Christological definitions of the early church, providing a full account of the person and work of Christ.

THE SECOND COUNCIL OF NICAEEA (787 AD): RESTORING THE HOLY ICONS

The Iconoclast Controversy

The seventh and final ecumenical council of the early church met in Nicaea in 787 AD. The issue at hand was the veneration of icons, or religious images. For centuries, Christians had used icons of Christ, the Virgin Mary, and the saints in their worship and devotion. However, a powerful movement known as Iconoclasm, which rejected the use of religious images as a form of idolatry, arose in the Byzantine Empire. Emperor Leo III initiated the first wave of iconoclasm in 726 AD, ordering the destruction of many icons and persecuting those who venerated them. The theological debate centered on the nature of the Incarnation: if God truly became human in Christ, then it was argued that depicting Christ in images was not only permissible but a