
Reid Method Of Interview And Interrogation

*Reid Method
Of Interview
And
Interrogation*

*Downloaded
from
evoluir.abar.org.br
by Antwan &
Rowe*

UNDERSTANDING THE REID METHOD OF INTERVIEW AND INTERROGATION

The **Reid Method of Interview and Interrogation** is one of the most widely recognized and debated techniques in the field of criminal investigation. Developed in the 1940s by former Chicago police officer John E. Reid, this

systematic approach to interviewing suspects and witnesses has been taught to law enforcement agencies around the world for decades. Its core principle is that a skilled interviewer can distinguish between truthful and deceptive individuals through careful observation of verbal and non-verbal behavior, then employ specific interrogation tactics to elicit a confession from a guilty suspect. Over time, the Reid Method has evolved, but its central

framework—often referred to as the "Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation"—remains a staple in police training manuals and corporate investigative settings.

To fully appreciate the method's impact, one must explore its origins, the step-by-step process, its strengths, and the controversies that have surrounded it. This article provides a comprehensive overview of the Reid Method, examining its application in modern investigative interviewing, its legal implications, and how it compares to alternative approaches.

Origins and Development of the Reid Technique

John E. Reid began his career as a police officer and later worked as a polygraph examiner. He noticed that while polygraph machines could measure physiological changes, the quality of the interview itself was often more decisive in obtaining truthful information. In 1947, he founded John E. Reid & Associates and

began developing a structured method that combined behavioral analysis with psychological interrogation principles. His approach was first codified in the 1962 book "Criminal Interrogation and Confessions," co-authored with Fred Inbau. The book outlined the Reid Nine Steps, which remain the foundation of the method today.

The technique gained rapid acceptance because it promised a systematic way to move from information gathering (the interview) to confession building (the interrogation). Unlike earlier coercive methods that relied heavily on intimidation, the Reid Method emphasized a non-

accusatory interview first, then a more focused interrogation of the suspect believed to be deceptive. This two-phase structure—Behavioral Analysis Interview (BAI) followed by the nine-step interrogation—became a hallmark of the Reid system.

THE TWO PHASES: BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS INTERVIEW AND THE NINE STEPS

The Reid Method is not a single procedure but a sequential process. It is crucial to distinguish between the interview phase and the interrogation phase, as each serves a different purpose and follows distinct protocols.

Phase One: The Behavioral Analysis Interview (BAI)

according to Reid training, betray deception. For example, a truthful suspect might be more willing to help solve the crime, while a deceptive suspect might hedge, repeat questions, or avoid direct eye contact. The interviewer also looks for specific behavioral cues such as slouching, grooming gestures, or changes in speech patterns.

The first step is a non-accusatory interview designed to gather information and assess the subject's truthfulness. During this BAI, the investigator asks a mix of investigative questions and "behavior-provoking" questions. The latter are crafted to elicit verbal and non-verbal responses that,

It is important to note that proponents of the Reid Method claim that these cues are reliable indicators of deception, though this claim has been challenged by academic research. Nevertheless, the BAI remains a widely taught technique in police academies.

Phase Two: The Reid Nine Steps of Interrogat ion

If the interviewer concludes that the subject is likely deceptive, the process moves to a formal interrogation. The Reid Method prescribes nine steps that guide the interrogator from confrontation to confession. These steps are flexible and can be adapted based on the suspect's responses. The following is a concise outline of each step:

1. **Direct Confrontation:**
The interrogator states unequivocally that the suspect is believed to be guilty. This shock tactic is intended to break down the suspect's confidence.
2. **Theme Development:**
The interrogator offers moral justifications or excuses for the crime, helping the suspect rationalize their actions. For example, "You didn't mean to hurt anyone; it was just a moment of anger."
3. **Handling Denials:** The interrogator actively

interrupts or dismisses the suspect's denials. Allowing a guilty person to deny too strongly can reinforce their resistance.

4. **Overcoming Objections:**

When the suspect raises objections to the theme, the interrogator turns those objections into further reasons for confession. For instance, "You say you couldn't have done it because you were too tired? That actually explains why you might have made a mistake."

5. **Gaining the Suspect's Attention:** The

interrogator uses physical proximity, gestures, and a sincere tone to ensure the suspect is fully engaged. This step aims to break psychological barriers.

6. **Handling the Suspect's Passive Mood:**

As the suspect becomes quiet and withdrawn, the interrogator offers sympathy and reinforces the theme. Silence is used strategically.

7. **Offering Alternatives:**

The interrogator presents two contrasting scenarios—one more morally acceptable than

the other—forcing the suspect to choose a face-saving option. A classic example: "Did you plan this for weeks, or did it just happen on the spur of the moment?"

8. **Bringing the Suspect into the**

Conversation:

After the suspect chooses an alternative, the interrogator encourages them to speak about the crime in detail, often starting with a limited admission.

9. **The Written**

Confession: The final step is obtaining a detailed, signed confession that

documents the suspect's admission of guilt.

These steps are designed to gradually reduce the suspect's resistance and shift their mindset from denial to confession. However, critics argue that the pressure inherent in this process can lead to false confessions, especially from vulnerable individuals.

APPLICATION AND TRAINING IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

The Reid Method of Interview and Interrogation is taught through courses offered by John E. Reid & Associates and certified instructors worldwide. Many police departments, federal agencies, and private

security firms require officers to undergo Reid training. The curriculum typically includes lectures, role-playing exercises, and video analysis of real interrogations. Emphasis is placed on reading behavioral cues, asking the right questions, and managing the psychological dynamics of the interrogation room.

Proponents highlight that the method has been used successfully in countless investigations, leading to confessions that have solved homicides, robberies, and other serious crimes. They argue that when applied correctly, the Reid Method respects the rights of the innocent while effectively extracting the truth from the

guilty. The method also provides a legal framework that helps officers avoid coercive techniques that could violate due process.

Legal and Ethical Considerations

Despite its popularity, the Reid Method has been the subject of intense scrutiny. Perhaps the most significant concern is the risk of **false confessions**.

Research by groups like the Innocence Project has shown that false confessions are a leading cause of wrongful convictions, and coercive interrogation

techniques are often a contributing factor. Critics point to the Reid Method's reliance on deception (e.g., pretending to have evidence), minimization of moral culpability, and prolonged isolation as factors that can pressure innocent suspects into falsely admitting guilt.

Legal standards also vary by jurisdiction. In the United States, the Fifth Amendment protects against self-incrimination, and the Fourteenth Amendment requires that confessions be voluntary. Courts have sometimes suppressed confessions obtained through improper interrogation tactics, particularly those involving physical coercion or explicit promises of leniency.

The Reid Method, when used improperly or with vulnerable populations (juveniles, persons with intellectual disabilities, or those under the influence of substances), can cross legal boundaries.

In response, many jurisdictions have adopted the **PEACE model** (Preparation, Engage, Account, Closure, Evaluation), a more investigative interviewing approach that prioritizes rapport-building and avoids accusatory confrontation. The PEACE model is widely used in the United Kingdom, Australia, and New Zealand, and has been advocated by researchers as a less risky alternative.

COMPARATIVE

METHOD VS. PEACE MODEL

Understanding the differences between these two approaches helps professionals choose the most appropriate technique for their context.

- **Goal:** The Reid Method aims primarily to obtain a confession from a guilty suspect. The PEACE model seeks to gather accurate and reliable information, regardless of guilt or innocence.
- **Approach:** Reid is accusatory and confrontational in the interrogation phase. PEACE is non-accusatory and information-

gathering
throughout.

- **Techniques:** Reid uses psychological manipulation, theme development, and alternative questioning. PEACE uses open-ended questions, active listening, and cognitive interviewing techniques.
- **Risk of False Confessions:** High for the Reid Method, especially with vulnerable subjects. Low for the PEACE model due to its ethical safeguards.
- **Training:** Reid training focuses on behavior detection and interrogation

tactics. PEACE training emphasizes communication skills and memory retrieval.

While the PEACE model has gained traction in research circles, the Reid Method remains deeply embedded in many American police cultures. Some agencies use a hybrid approach, employing the Behavioral Analysis Interview for initial assessments but avoiding the more aggressive nine-step interrogation.

CRITICISMS AND SCIENTIFIC CHALLENGES

One of the most persistent criticisms of the Reid Method is that its underlying science—particularly

the ability to detect deception through behavioral cues—is not supported by empirical evidence. Meta-analyses have shown that even trained professionals perform only slightly better than chance at identifying liars. Factors like anxiety, cultural differences, and psychological conditions can easily mimic deceptive behavior. Relying on such cues to move from interview to interrogation can lead to confirmation bias, where the interrogator interprets all subsequent behavior as consistent with guilt.

Furthermore, the Reid Method's step-by-step interrogation can create a powerful psychological pressure that overrides rational

decision-making. Innocent suspects may confess to avoid a perceived lengthy or traumatic interrogation, or because they believe the situation is hopeless. High-profile cases such as the Central Park Five (where teenagers falsely confessed to a brutal assault after hours of interrogation) have been cited as examples of the dangers of coercive methods reminiscent of the Reid style.

In response to these criticisms, John E. Reid & Associates has updated its training materials in recent years, emphasizing that the method should not be used on juveniles or individuals with mental impairments without additional safeguards.

They also stress that the BAI is not intended as a definitive lie-detection tool, but rather as a guide for further investigation.

MODERN ADAPTATIONS AND THE FUTURE OF INTERVIEWING

As the field of investigative interviewing evolves, the Reid Method continues to adapt. Some trainers now incorporate elements of rapport-building and cognitive interviewing into the Reid framework. Newer guidelines recommend video recording all interviews and interrogations to provide transparency and protect against allegations of coercion. Many departments have also moved away from using the term

"interrogation" altogether, preferring "investigative interview" to reduce the adversarial connotation.

Technology is also playing a role. Artificial intelligence and machine learning are being explored to analyze speech patterns and facial micro-expressions, though ethical concerns remain. The debate between the Reid Method and alternatives like the PEACE model is likely to persist as more evidence accumulates.

For professionals in law enforcement, private security, human resources, or legal settings, understanding the Reid Method is essential—not just for its practical application, but for its

historical significance and the lessons it offers about the delicate balance between effective investigation and safeguarding individual rights.

CONCLUSION

The Reid Method of Interview and Interrogation

remains a cornerstone of investigative practice, despite ongoing controversy. Its structured nine-step approach offers a clear framework for moving from information gathering to confession, which can be effective in generating admissions of guilt. However, the method's reliance on behavioral cues and psychological pressure raises legitimate concerns about false confessions and

wrongful convictions. As research continues to challenge traditional assumptions about deception detection, the field is gradually shifting toward more ethical, rapport-based techniques. For any professional who works with suspects or witnesses, a critical understanding of the Reid Method—its strengths, limitations, and appropriate safeguards—is indispensable. The future of interviewing lies not in choosing one method over another, but in integrating the best evidence-based practices to seek the truth while protecting the innocent.