
Reid Technique Of Interviewing And Interrogation

*Reid
Technique Of
Interviewing
And
Interrogation*

*Downloaded
from
evoluir.abar.org.br
by Keely &
Garrett*

UNDERSTANDING THE REID TECHNIQUE OF INTERVIEWING AND INTERROGATION

The Reid Technique of Interviewing and Interrogation stands as one of the most widely recognized and debated methods in criminal investigations worldwide. Developed in the 1940s and refined over decades, this approach has

shaped how law enforcement officers, private security professionals, and corporate investigators approach suspect interviews. At its core, the Reid Technique is a structured, psychological method designed to elicit confessions from guilty suspects while minimizing the risk of false admissions. However, its use has sparked significant legal, ethical, and psychological controversy, particularly concerning the potential for false

confessions. Understanding its principles, applications, and limitations is essential for anyone involved in investigative interviewing or criminal justice.

The Origins and Develop ment of the Reid Technique

The Reid Technique was pioneered by John E. Reid, a polygraph expert and former

Chicago police officer, in the mid-20th century. Reid developed the method as a systematic alternative to the coercive and often physically abusive interrogation practices common at the time. In 1962, he co-authored the seminal book **Criminal Interrogation and Confessions** with Fred Inbau, which laid out the foundational principles of the technique. The method has since undergone revisions through multiple editions, with the most recent updates addressing legal changes and psychological research. Today, John E. Reid & Associates continues to train thousands of investigators annually in the technique, making it a dominant

force in North
American law
enforcement training.

psychological
strategies.

The Three Core Components of the Reid Technique

The Reid Technique is not a single procedure but a three-phase process that guides investigators from initial fact gathering through to the final interrogation. Each stage has distinct objectives, rules, and

1. Factual Analysis

Before any interview begins, the Reid Technique emphasizes thorough factual analysis. Investigators are trained to examine all available evidence, including physical evidence, witness statements, and crime scene characteristics. This phase serves two critical purposes: it helps determine whether a crime actually occurred, and it identifies what information a guilty suspect would uniquely know. Factual analysis also guides the investigator in developing interview strategies tailored to the specific case. This

emphasis on evidence-based preparation distinguishes the Reid Technique from interrogation methods that rely solely on psychological pressure without foundation in case facts.

2. Behavioral Analysis Interview

The second phase is the Behavioral Analysis Interview, a non-accusatory, question-and-answer session designed to assess the subject's truthfulness through verbal and non-verbal cues. During this phase, the investigator asks a

series of structured questions while observing the subject's behavior, including posture, eye contact, speech patterns, and specific verbal responses. The Reid Technique identifies certain behavioral indicators said to correlate with deception, such as qualifying statements, repeated questions, or variations in response timing. However, it is crucial to understand that behavioral cues are not definitive proof of guilt; they serve as indicators that warrant further investigation. The Behavioral Analysis Interview is intended to be conversational and low-pressure, allowing innocent subjects to cooperate freely while guilty subjects may reveal inconsistencies.

3. The Nine Steps of Interrogat ion

The third and most controversial phase is the accusatory interrogation, which follows a structured nine-step process. This phase is only initiated when the investigator has developed a strong subjective belief in the subject's guilt based on the preceding phases. The nine steps are designed to break down the suspect's resistance and increase the likelihood of confession. They include:

- **Step 1** – Direct, positive confrontation: The investigator states unequivocally that the evidence indicates the subject's guilt.
- **Step 2** – Theme development: The investigator offers moral or psychological justifications for the crime, minimizing the suspect's perceived culpability.
- **Step 3** – Handling denials: The investigator interrupts and discourages the suspect from making repeated denials.
- **Step 4** – Overcoming objections: The investigator

counters
rationalizations
or excuses the
suspect raises.

- **Step 5** –
Procuring and
retaining the
suspect's
attention: The
investigator
ensures the
suspect remains
engaged and
does not
withdraw
mentally.
- **Step 6** –
Handling the
suspect's passive
mood: The
investigator
recognizes when
the suspect
becomes
resigned and
offers empathy
to encourage
confession.
- **Step 7** –
Presenting an
alternative
question: The

investigator
offers a choice
between two
incriminating
explanations,
one more
morally
acceptable than
the other.

- **Step 8** – Having
the suspect
relate details of
the offense: The
investigator
obtains a verbal
account of the
crime.
- **Step 9** –
Converting the
oral confession
to a written
statement: The
investigator
documents the
confession in the
suspect's own
words.

These nine steps rely
heavily on
psychological
manipulation, including
the use of themes that

minimize moral responsibility, such as suggesting the victim provoked the crime or that the suspect acted under extreme pressure. Proponents argue that only guilty suspects will confess under these methods, while critics contend that the techniques can induce false confessions from vulnerable individuals.

Controversy and Criticism of the Reid Technique

e

The Reid Technique has faced growing scrutiny from legal scholars, psychologists, and innocence advocacy organizations. The most significant criticism centers on the risk of false confessions, particularly among juveniles, individuals with intellectual disabilities, and those with mental health conditions. Research has shown that certain elements of the Reid Technique, such as presenting false evidence, isolating the suspect, and offering moral justifications, can lead innocent people to confess to crimes they did not commit. High-profile exoneration cases,

including those involving the Innocence Project, have highlighted instances where Reid-style interrogations produced false admissions that later unraveled under DNA evidence.

Another major criticism is the technique's reliance on behavioral cues to determine truthfulness. Decades of psychological research have demonstrated that detecting deception through behavioral observation is highly unreliable. Factors such as anxiety, cultural differences, and individual personality traits can mimic behaviors associated with deception, leading investigators to mistakenly judge innocent subjects as

guilty. When this misjudgment triggers the accusatory nine-step process, innocent individuals face intense psychological pressure that can overwhelm their resistance and produce false confessions.

Legal challenges have also emerged. Several U.S. states have restricted or banned the use of certain Reid Technique practices, such as lying about evidence or interrogating juveniles without legal counsel. Canadian courts have expressed skepticism about the technique's reliability, and some jurisdictions have moved toward alternative investigative interviewing methods that prioritize information gathering over confession-

seeking.

Alternative Approaches to Investigative Interviewing

In response to the controversies surrounding the Reid Technique, several alternative models have gained prominence. The PEACE model, developed in the United Kingdom, emphasizes ethical interviewing, thorough

preparation, and evidence-based questioning without the use of manipulative tactics. PEACE stands for Planning and Preparation, Engage and Explain, Account, Closure, and Evaluation. This method prioritizes gathering accurate information over obtaining confessions and has shown strong results in reducing false confession rates.

The Cognitive Interview is another evidence-based approach that focuses on memory retrieval techniques, such as context reinstatement and varied recall, to enhance the accuracy of witness and suspect accounts. These methods align with psychological research on memory and decision-making,

offering a scientifically grounded alternative to the Reid Technique's emphasis on psychological pressure.

Some law enforcement agencies in the United States have also begun adopting rapport-based interviewing methods that build trust with subjects rather than confronting them. These approaches aim to reduce the adversarial nature of interrogations and improve the likelihood of voluntary, reliable admissions.

Best Practices for

Ethical Interviewing

For investigators who continue to use the Reid Technique or similar methods, adherence to best practices is essential to minimize legal and ethical risks. Recording all interrogations in their entirety, from the start of the interview to its conclusion, provides an objective record that can be reviewed for coercion or procedural errors. Avoiding the presentation of false evidence, especially in jurisdictions where it is prohibited, reduces the risk of false confessions. Investigators should also receive regular

training on the vulnerabilities of specific populations, including juveniles and individuals with cognitive impairments.

Furthermore, investigators must recognize that the decision to move from the Behavioral Analysis Interview to the accusatory interrogation phase carries high stakes. This threshold should be crossed only when evidence independently supports guilt, not solely on the basis of perceived behavioral cues. Maintaining a mindset of information gathering rather than confession-seeking can help investigators remain objective and ethical throughout the process.

CONCLUSION

The Reid Technique of Interviewing and Interrogation remains a powerful and influential method in criminal investigations, but its application demands careful consideration of its strengths and limitations. While the technique offers a structured framework for moving from factual analysis to behavioral assessment and ultimately to interrogation, its reliance on psychological manipulation and behavioral indicators raises legitimate concerns about reliability and fairness. The growing body of research on false confessions, combined with legal reforms and the development of alternative models,

suggests that the future of investigative interviewing lies in evidence-based, ethically grounded approaches that prioritize truth-seeking over confession extraction. For investigators committed to justice, understanding both the Reid Technique and its critiques is not merely academic; it is a professional responsibility. By combining the best elements of traditional methods with modern psychological insights and legal safeguards, the field can move toward practices that serve both the pursuit of truth and the protection of individual rights.