

World War 1 British Tanks

World War 1 British Tanks

Downloaded from evoluir.abar.org.br by
Martinez & Buck

THE BIRTH OF THE ARMoured BEHEMOTH: AN INTRODUCTION TO WORLD WAR 1 BRITISH TANKS

The First World War, a conflict defined by the grim stalemate of trench warfare, demanded a revolutionary solution. The machine gun, barbed wire, and artillery had made the battlefield a killing zone where traditional infantry assaults were all but suicidal. It was in this crucible of horror that the **World War 1 British tank** was born.

These early armoured fighting vehicles were not the sleek, fast machines we know today. They were lumbering, rhomboid-shaped giants, designed for one primary purpose: to crush barbed wire, cross treacherous trenches, and provide mobile protection for advancing infantry. The development of British tanks during the Great War was a race against time, a story of ingenuity, bureaucratic struggle, and sheer mechanical determination. This article explores the fascinating evolution, the key models, the men who crewed them, and the lasting legacy of these pioneering war machines.

THE GENESIS: WHY BRITAIN NEEDED A LANDSHIP

By 1915, the Western Front had degenerated into a static war of attrition. The British Army was desperate for a weapon that could break the deadlock. Colonel Ernest Swinton, a British engineer and officer, was a key advocate for a tracked armoured vehicle. He drew inspiration from the American Holt tractor, which used caterpillar tracks to traverse soft ground. The idea was simple: build a vehicle that could travel over the broken ground of No Man's Land, impervious to small arms fire, and capable of destroying enemy strongpoints.

The Admiralty, led by the visionary First Lord of the Admiralty, Winston Churchill, took charge of the project. A Landships Committee was formed in February 1915. The name "tank" itself was a clever piece of security. To maintain secrecy, the prototypes were described as water carriers for the Russian army, or simply "tanks." The name stuck.

The Little Willie and Mother: The First Prototypes

Two key prototypes emerged from the workshops of William Foster & Co. in Lincoln. The first, completed in September 1915, was nicknamed **Little Willie**. While it was a functional tracked vehicle, its design was not ideal for crossing wide trenches. It was soon superseded by a second prototype, originally named "His Majesty's Landship Centipede" but quickly known simply as **Mother**.

Mother, completed in January 1916, was a true breakthrough. It featured the iconic rhomboid shape, with tracks running all the way around the hull. This design allowed the vehicle to climb over high obstacles and span wide trenches. The tracks were driven by a Daimler engine, and the vehicle was armed with two 6-pounder naval guns in side sponsons and several machine guns. This

single prototype became the blueprint for the first production model, the Mark I.

THE MARK I: THE FIRST OF ITS KIND

The **Mark I tank** was the world's first tank to see combat. It was a machine of stark contrasts, both innovative and deeply flawed. Production began in early 1916, and by September, 150 vehicles had been delivered to the British Army.

Male and Female: A Divided Design

A unique feature of the early British tanks was the division into "Male" and "Female" types. The Male tank was the heavy hitter, armed with two 6-pounder (57 mm) guns mounted in the side sponsons, along with three machine guns. Its role was to engage enemy strongpoints, bunkers, and other armoured targets. The Female tank was designed for anti-personnel work. It carried no cannons, but was equipped with four Vickers machine guns and one Hotchkiss machine gun. The idea was that Female tanks would clear enemy infantry while Male tanks dealt with hardened positions. This concept was often tactically valuable, though it also created a vulnerability if a Female tank encountered an enemy anti-tank gun.

Technical Limitations and Crew Conditions

Inside a Mark I, life was brutal. The crew of eight (commander, driver, two gearsmen, two gunners, and two machine gunners) worked in an environment that was deafening, unbearably hot, and filled with toxic fumes from the engine and weapons. The tank had no suspension, so the ride was a bone-shaking lurch across uneven ground. Vision was extremely poor, limited to small slit windows and periscopes.

Steering was a complex affair. A combination of the two gearsmen and the driver had to coordinate to apply brakes to one track or the other, or to use a rear steering tail. Despite these shortcomings, the Mark I proved that the concept was viable. It was a machine built for a specific job, and it did that job with a raw, industrial tenacity.

THE BATTLE OF FLERS-COURCELETTE: THE TANK'S DEBUT

The first combat use of British tanks came on 15 September 1916, during the Battle of Flers-Courcelette, a phase of the larger Somme offensive. Of the 50 tanks initially deployed, only 27 actually reached the start line. Many broke down, became stuck in the mud, or suffered mechanical failure. But for those that did advance, the effect on the German troops was profound.

A tank called "Daredevil" captured the village of Flers, and a tank named "Drachen" supported the capture of a key German strongpoint. The sheer psychological shock of seeing a massive, clanking, steel monster impervious to rifle fire was immense. German soldiers surrendered in droves. British infantry,

witnessing the spectacle, were inspired. While the tactical gains were modest in the grand scheme of the Somme, the tank had proven its potential as a breakthrough weapon.

EVOLUTION OF THE BREED: MARK IV, MARK V, AND BEYOND

The lessons learned from the Mark I were rapidly applied to subsequent models. The tank was an evolving weapon, with each new version addressing the shortcomings of its predecessor.

The Mark IV: The Workhorse of 1917

The **Mark IV tank** entered service in 1917 and became the most produced British tank of the war. It was a significant improvement over the Mark I. Most notably, the fuel tank was moved to the rear, and the engine exhaust was better directed to reduce the risk of fires. The armour was thickened, and the guns on the Male tanks were shortened to prevent them from digging into the ground when negotiating steep slopes. The Mark IV was far more reliable than its predecessor, though it still suffered from the same basic design limitations. It was the tank that fought at the Battle of Cambrai in November 1917, the first large-scale tank offensive in history.

The Battle of Cambrai: A Tactical Revolution

Cambrai was a turning point in armored warfare. Instead of using tanks in small, scattered groups to support infantry, the British commander, General Sir Julian Byng, massed over 400 tanks for a surprise attack. The plan was to use the tanks to crush a gap in the German Hindenburg Line, followed by infantry and cavalry to exploit the breakthrough. The initial attack on 20 November 1917 was a stunning success. The tanks, supported by a creeping artillery barrage, smashed through the German lines, capturing thousands of prisoners. The sound of the engines, the clatter of tracks, and the sight of the advancing behemoths broke the German morale.

However, the success was not sustainable. The tanks were mechanically worn out, the infantry and cavalry could not keep pace, and German reserves arrived to counter-attack. The battle ended in a stalemate, but it had demonstrated the devastating potential of a massed armoured assault. Cambrai permanently changed the way armies thought about warfare.

The Mark V: A New Standard

The **Mark V tank** was the next major step. Delivered in 1918, it was a more powerful and refined machine. The most significant change was the introduction of a single engine and a single gearbox, allowing one driver to control the tank, eliminating the need for the two gearsman. The steering was also improved. The Mark V was faster, more reliable, and easier to drive than its predecessors. It was used extensively in the final Allied offensives of the war, including the Battle of Amiens in August 1918, which is often considered the "Black Day of the German Army."

WHIPPET AND OTHER SPECIALISED VEHICLES

Not all British tanks were the large, heavy rhomboids. The need for speed and exploitation led to the development of the **Medium Mark A Whippet**. This was a faster, lighter tank designed to exploit breakthroughs, much like cavalry. It had a crew of three or four and was armed with machine guns. The Whippet could reach speeds of up to 8 mph, which was very fast for a tank of the era. It was used with great effect in the 1918 battles, harrying German rear areas and disrupting supply lines.

Other specialised vehicles included the **Gun Carrier Mark I**, a self-propelled artillery piece, and the unarmed supply tanks used to deliver ammunition and water to the front. The British also experimented with the **Mark VIII**, a larger, more powerful tank that was intended as a joint Anglo-American project, but it arrived too late to see combat in World War 1.

LIFE INSIDE A BRITISH TANK

The experience of a British tank crewman was one of extreme endurance. The crew were volunteers, often drawn from the Motor Machine Gun Service and the Royal Engineers. They underwent special training at the Tank Corps training centre at Bovington.

Conditions inside a tank were appalling. The noise was so loud that crew members communicated through hand signals and shouts. The heat could rise to over 50 degrees Celsius (120 degrees Fahrenheit), and the air was thick with exhaust fumes, cordite smoke, and dust. Crews suffered from "tank sickness," a condition caused by a combination of heat, fumes, and motion sickness. They were also vulnerable to burns and shrapnel, as armour was thin and fuel tanks were often located inside the vehicle.

Despite the dangers, a strong camaraderie developed among the crews. They were a close-knit, elite force, proud of their role as pioneers of a new kind of warfare. Their bravery and resilience were beyond measure.

THE LEGACY: FORGING THE MODERN BATTLEFIELD

The World War 1 British tank was not a war-winner on its own. The technology was too primitive, and the tactics were still being refined. However, its impact on military history is impossible to overstate. It shattered the illusion of defensive invincibility and forced armies to rethink the entire concept of land warfare.

The lessons learned with the rhomboid tanks and the Whippets directly influenced the design of the interwar tanks and the famous tanks of World War 2, such as the Matilda, Churchill, and Crusader. The concept of a combined arms operation, using tanks supported by infantry, artillery, and aircraft, was proven at Cambrai and perfected at Amiens. The tank had evolved from a slow, unreliable curiosity into a decisive weapon of war.

Today, the few surviving examples of these early machines, like the Mark IV at the Bovington Tank Museum, stand as silent monuments to the ingenuity and sacrifice of the first tankers. They remind us that even in the darkest hours of human conflict, innovation can emerge from the mud and the blood.

CONCLUSION: THE IRON HORSE OF THE GREAT WAR

The story of the **World War 1 British tank** is a story of vision, desperation, and raw engineering power. From the secret trials of Mother to the muddy fields of Flers-Courcelette, Cambrai, and Amiens, these armoured behemoths carved a new path in military strategy. They were imperfect, dangerous to their own crews, and often mechanically unreliable. Yet, they achieved the

impossible. They broke the trench deadlock, restored mobility to the battlefield, and laid the foundation for all modern armoured warfare.

British tanks in the First World War were more than just weapons of destruction. They were symbols of a relentless drive to

overcome the horrors of static warfare. Their legacy is not one of glory, but of grim determination. They proved that even against the most formidable defensive positions, human ingenuity could find a way. The clanking, roaring, smoke-belching tanks of 1916-1918 changed the world forever, and their echo can still be heard in the thunder of modern armoured divisions.