
What Are Generals Made Of

*What Are Generals
Made Of*

*Downloaded from
evoluir.abar.org.br by
Finn & Aguirre*

INTRODUCTION: THE FABRIC OF MILITARY COMMAND

Throughout history, the figure of the general has commanded respect, fear, admiration, and sometimes controversy. From Alexander the Great to Dwight D. Eisenhower, from Sun Tzu to Norman Schwarzkopf, the role of a general is one of immense responsibility and complexity. But when we ask, **what are generals made of**, we are not inquiring

about their biological composition. Rather, we are probing the essence of their character, the depth of their training, and the unique blend of intellect, emotion, and will that sets them apart. This article unpacks the core ingredients that forge a military leader capable of shaping nations, saving lives, and making decisions that echo through centuries. Understanding these qualities is essential for anyone interested in leadership, history, or the art of strategy.

THE FOUNDATIONAL TRAIT:

STRATEGIC VISION

At the heart of every great general lies an extraordinary ability to see the battlefield not just as it is, but as it will be. **Strategic vision** is the capacity to think several moves ahead, anticipate enemy actions, and align tactical engagements with long-term political and military objectives. This is not mere intuition; it is a disciplined cognitive process honed through study, experience, and a deep understanding of history. A general must grasp the big picture—geopolitical context, logistics, public opinion, and the morale of troops—while simultaneously retaining a sharp focus on immediate threats. The answer to **what are generals made of** often begins with this panoramic

mindset.

For example, George C. Marshall, as U.S. Army Chief of Staff during World War II, orchestrated a global strategy without commanding a single battle directly. His vision transformed an unprepared nation into the arsenal of democracy. Similarly, Erwin Rommel's aggressive maneuvering in North Africa demonstrated tactical brilliance, yet his strategic oversight sometimes faltered due to resource limitations. A truly effective general balances strategic foresight with operational feasibility.

Decision-Making

Under Uncertainty

No general ever has perfect information. The fog of war, as Carl von Clausewitz called it, ensures that every command carries risk. Therefore, **decisiveness** is a non-negotiable component. A general must analyze incomplete data, consult subordinates, and then act with conviction. Hesitation can cost lives and battles. This quality is closely tied to what psychologists call **decision-making tolerance for ambiguity**. Generals learn to make the best decision with the information available, accepting that some outcomes will be suboptimal. The ability to course-correct quickly

without being paralyzed by second-guessing is a hallmark of military leadership. Many historical accounts, such as Ulysses S. Grant's relentless pursuit of Robert E. Lee, illustrate how steady decision-making can break an enemy's will.

CHARACTER AND MORAL COURAGE

When we explore **what are generals made of**, we inevitably encounter the dimension of moral fiber. Military ethics demand that a general not only wins battles but does so within the bounds of law, honor, and humanity. **Integrity** is the bedrock of trust between a commander and their troops. Soldiers must believe that their leader will not waste their lives needlessly, will keep

promises, and will accept responsibility for failures. The best generals lead from the front in terms of values, even when no one is watching.

Consider General Harold Alexander, who shielded civilians during the Italian campaign, or General Colin Powell, who articulated the principles of leadership grounded in ethical conduct in his memoirs. Conversely, commanders who ignored moral constraints, such as those implicated in war crimes, often faced historical condemnation and operational inefficiency because troops lost confidence. Moral courage also means opposing orders that are strategically unsound or ethically dubious, as seen in the famous case of General James M. Gavin pushing back against certain airborne assault plans. This internal

fortitude is a defining ingredient.

Resilience: The Psychological Armor

Leadership in combat is enormously stressful. Generals face sleep deprivation, constant pressure from superiors and politicians, and the burden of casualties. **Resilience**—the ability to bounce back from setbacks and maintain mental clarity—is crucial. Many great generals suffered defeats early in their careers. George Washington lost more battles than he won, yet his perseverance kept the Continental Army

alive. Robert E. Lee, despite devastating losses, kept his army cohesive until the very end. The emotional stability to absorb bad news, learn from mistakes, and continue planning is not a soft skill; it is a survival mechanism. Modern military academies now emphasize mental toughness training, recognizing that a general's psychological makeup directly influences unit morale and combat effectiveness. Resilience is thus one of the most frequently cited answers to **what are generals made of**.

LOGISTICAL MASTERY AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACUMEN

Contrary to popular belief, a general's primary battle is often fought on paper, in supply depots, and within the bureaucracy of military organizations.

Logistics—the art of moving and supplying armies—has decided more wars than brilliant tactical maneuvers. Napoleon famously said, "An army marches on its stomach." Great generals must be exceptional managers. They need to coordinate transportation, ammunition, food, medical care, and reinforcements across vast distances under hostile conditions.

General Dwight D. Eisenhower's greatest contribution to the Allied victory in World War II was not a specific battle plan but his extraordinary ability to manage the massive logistical chain that sustained the invasion of Normandy. He juggled conflicting demands from the British, Americans, and other Allies, ensuring that troops had what they needed when they needed it. Similarly, General

William Tecumseh Sherman's March to the Sea demonstrated how operational independence combined with careful logistical planning can break an enemy's infrastructure. Without a head for administration, even the most charismatic general will fail. Consequently, **organizational intelligence** is a core part of the answer to what makes a general.

Learning from History and Theory

No general is born fully formed. The foundation of military leadership is built

through rigorous **education**. Almost every successful general has been a voracious reader and student of military history and theory. They study the campaigns of past leaders—Alexander, Caesar, Hannibal, Napoleon, Grant, Lee, Rommel, Zhukov—not to copy them directly but to extract principles that can be adapted. Military schools like West Point, Sandhurst, and the Staff College focus heavily on case studies. This historical perspective helps generals avoid repeating mistakes and recognize patterns in enemy behavior. The question **what are generals made of** cannot be answered without acknowledging the decades of study and intellectual discipline required to reach command positions.

COMMUNICATION AND INSPIRATION

A general does not fight alone; he fights through the actions of thousands or even millions of soldiers. Therefore, the ability to **communicate clearly** and **inspire** is vital. A general must articulate complex orders in simple language that can be understood under fire. He or she must also rally troops to endure hardship, sacrifice, and fear. The iconic image of General Patton giving fiery speeches before battle is not just legend—research shows that charismatic leadership boosts combat motivation.

But communication is not only about speeches. It also involves listening to subordinates, reading reports, and maintaining a transparent chain of

command. General Stanley McChrystal, for instance, revolutionized communication in special operations by flattening hierarchies and encouraging constant feedback. Effective modern generals are often seen as deft networkers who build coalitions and trust across diverse organizations. In the digital age, a general's public statements can influence global markets and diplomatic relations, making communication skills more critical than ever. Thus, **rhetorical ability** and **emotional intelligence** are essential components.

The Ability to

Adapt

Military history is littered with commanders who rigidly applied outdated tactics to new technologies, with disastrous results. The best generals are **adaptive**. They innovate under fire, adopt new weapons and strategies, and are willing to discard doctrine when it no longer works. For example, General John J. Pershing initially faced resistance to the use of tanks, but later embraced combined arms warfare. In recent decades, generals in Afghanistan and Iraq had to shift from conventional warfare to counterinsurgency, which required a completely different mindset—one that emphasized winning hearts and minds

over destroying enemy forces. This flexibility is a key part of **what are generals made of** in the 21st century. The capacity to learn, unlearn, and relearn distinguishes the great from the merely competent.

TEAM BUILDING AND SUBORDINATE DEVELOPMENT

No general can be everywhere or do everything. The most effective leaders cultivate a **strong team** of subordinate commanders and staff officers. They mentor, delegate, and promote talent. A general who hoards authority or surrounds himself with yes-men courts disaster. History praises those like George C. Marshall, who identified and promoted brilliant subordinates such as Eisenhower, Bradley, and Patton.

Conversely, leaders who stifle dissent often fail because they lack diverse perspectives. A general must also foster loyalty and trust across the entire organization, from generals to privates. The chemistry of a command team often determines the outcome of a campaign. Building such a team requires patience, humility, and the wisdom to recognize excellence in others.

Physical Stamina and Personal Presence

While modern technology allows generals to command from distant

command centers, the most respected leaders have historically maintained a **personal presence** on the battlefield. This is not about firing a weapon but about sharing risk and demonstrating commitment. Physical stamina is essential because campaigns often require long hours, travel, and exposure to harsh environments. General George S. Patton, despite his age, insisted on visiting frontline troops, which boosted morale. General Chesty Puller of the Marines became legendary for his front-line leadership. Although the nature of warfare has changed, the psychological impact of a general who appears calm and determined under fire remains immense. Therefore, **physical robustness** and **personal courage** are part of the composite.

THE MODERN GENERAL: NEW DIMENSIONS

In the 21st century, the ingredients of a general have expanded beyond traditional martial virtues. Today's generals must navigate **cyber warfare, media scrutiny, joint operations** across services, and coalition diplomacy. They must understand not only kinetic operations but also information warfare, economic sanctions, and covert actions. The question **what are generals made of** now includes digital literacy, cross-cultural competence, and political acumen. For instance, General David Petraeus's success in the Iraq surge depended as much on his ability to negotiate with tribal leaders and manage the media as on troop deployments.

Modern generals are often PhDs in international relations or engineering, reflecting the complexity of modern conflict.

Accountability and Humility

Finally, a general must be willing to accept responsibility—both in victory and defeat. Blaming subordinates or circumstances erodes trust and moral authority. The best generals, like George Washington after the loss of New York, absorbed criticism and adjusted. **Humility** is not weakness; it is a realistic assessment of one's limitations. The public often expects generals to be invincible, but the best ones know they

are fallible. This self-awareness makes them better decision-makers. It also allows them to learn and grow. In answering **what are generals made of**, we must include the capacity for introspection and the grace to admit mistakes. This quality, while rarely highlighted in popular culture, is what ultimately earns the loyalty of troops and the respect of history.

CONCLUSION: THE SUM OF MANY PARTS

So, **what are generals made of**? They are made of strategic vision, decisive judgment, moral courage, resilience,

logistical genius, erudition, inspiration, adaptability, team-building, physical endurance, and humility. No single trait defines them; rather, it is the rare combination of these attributes, forged through years of training, experience, and trial by fire. Great generals are not born but built—by their own efforts, by their mentors, and by the crucible of war. Understanding this intricate assembly helps us appreciate the gravity of military command and the immense human effort behind every strategic victory. Whether contemplating history or looking to the future, the traits of a general offer timeless lessons for leadership in any high-stakes endeavor.