
General Agreement On Tariffs And Trade Gatt

*General Agreement On
Tariffs And Trade Gatt*

*Downloaded from
evoluir.abar.org.br by
Jamal & Emilie*

UNDERSTANDING THE GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND TRADE (GATT): THE FOUNDATION OF GLOBAL TRADE

The modern global economy, with its intricate web of international commerce, did not emerge by accident. It was carefully constructed over decades, with one landmark accord serving as its cornerstone: the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)**. Established in the shadow of the Great Depression and World War II, this agreement represented a collective determination to replace protectionist economic policies with a rules-based system of trade liberalization. For nearly half a century, from 1948 to 1995, GATT functioned as the principal framework governing international trade, successfully reducing tariffs and fostering unprecedented economic growth. To understand how we arrived at the World Trade Organization (WTO) and the complexities of today's trade landscape, one must first grasp the origins, principles, and legacy of this pivotal agreement.

THE GENESIS OF GATT: A POST-WAR ECONOMIC VISION

The idea for the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** was born from the ruins of the 1930s, a decade

defined by retaliatory tariffs, competitive currency devaluations, and a catastrophic collapse in global trade. Policymakers from the Allied nations, led by the United States and the United Kingdom, sought to create a stable international economic order that would prevent such devastation from recurring. The blueprint was laid at the Bretton Woods Conference in 1944, which established the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank. However, the third pillar of this system—an International Trade Organization (ITO)—was envisioned as a specialized agency to oversee trade rules and promote liberalization.

The Failure of the ITO and the Birth of an Interim Agreement

The ambitious ITO charter, agreed upon in Havana in 1948, addressed not only tariffs but also issues like employment, investment, and restrictive business practices. However, it proved too far-reaching for the U.S. Congress to ratify, effectively killing the ITO before it could be launched. In the meantime, a smaller,

more pragmatic agreement had been reached: the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade**. Initially conceived as a provisional instrument, this agreement contained the core tariff concessions and trade rules that had been negotiated among 23 founding members. This "provisional" agreement unexpectedly became the de facto framework for global trade governance for the next 47 years, evolving through successive rounds of negotiations.

CORE PRINCIPLES THAT SHAPED GATT

The enduring power of the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** lay not in its institutional strength, but in a few foundational principles that guided its member nations. These principles are still central to the modern WTO system.

- **Non-Discrimination (Most-Favoured-Nation Principle):** This is the bedrock of GATT. Article I requires that any advantage, favour, privilege, or immunity granted to one member country concerning a product must be immediately and unconditionally granted to all other members. In essence, a tariff cut offered to one trading partner must be offered to all.
- **National Treatment:** Article III stipulates that once imported goods have cleared customs, they must be treated no less favourably than domestically produced goods regarding internal taxes, regulations, and laws. This prevents countries from using internal policies to undermine tariff commitments.
- **Tariff Binding and Reduction:**

GATT encouraged members to "bind" their tariff rates at a negotiated maximum level. Once bound, a country cannot raise that tariff above the agreed ceiling without compensating its trading partners. This provided predictability and stability for international traders.

- **Transparency and Predictability:** Members were required to publish their trade regulations and notify other members of changes. This transparency reduced uncertainty and allowed businesses to plan accordingly.
- **Dispute Settlement:** GATT provided a mechanism for members to resolve trade disputes through consultation, conciliation, and, if necessary, the establishment of panels to rule on alleged violations. Although the process was imperfect, it introduced a rule-of-law element to international trade.

THE EIGHT ROUNDS OF NEGOTIATIONS: FROM TARIFFS TO TRADE RULES

The history of the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** is best understood through its eight major rounds of multilateral trade negotiations. Each round progressively reduced tariffs and expanded the scope of the agreement.

Early Rounds:

Focusing on Tariff Cuts

The first rounds in Geneva (1947), Annecy (1949), and Torquay (1950) were primarily bilateral negotiations on product-specific tariff reductions, which were then multilateralized through the MFN principle. By the fourth round in Geneva (1956) and the Dillon Round (1960–61), tariff cuts continued, but the pace was slowing. The average tariff on manufactured goods had already fallen significantly from the high levels of the 1930s.

The Kennedy Round (1964–1967): A Major Leap

This round marked a turning point. Named after U.S. President John F. Kennedy, it introduced a new approach: instead of haggling over individual products, members negotiated across-the-board tariff cuts on a wide range of industrial goods. The Kennedy Round achieved an average tariff reduction of about 35% on industrial products. Critically, it also created an anti-dumping code, expanding the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** beyond simple tariff schedules into trade remedy rules.

The Tokyo Round (1973–1979): Addressing Non- Tariff Barriers

As tariff levels declined, non-tariff barriers (NTBs) such as subsidies, technical standards, and import licensing became the new obstacles to trade. The Tokyo Round was the first to seriously tackle these issues, resulting in several "codes" on subsidies, countervailing duties, government procurement, and customs valuation. However, these codes were plurilateral—only a limited number of GATT members signed on—which limited their universal application.

The Uruguay Round (1986–1994): The Grand Finale

The eighth and final round of GATT negotiations was the most ambitious and transformative. Lasting nearly eight years, the Uruguay Round expanded the trade system into entirely new areas: services (General Agreement on Trade in Services, GATS), intellectual property (Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, TRIPS), and agriculture. It also reformed the dispute settlement mechanism, making it more automatic and binding. The most historic outcome of the Uruguay Round was the decision

to replace the provisional **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** with a permanent institution—the World Trade Organization (WTO)—which officially came into existence on January 1, 1995. The GATT itself, as a treaty, was updated and incorporated into the WTO framework (now referred to as "GATT 1994").

THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF GATT: SUCCESSES IN TRADE LIBERALIZATION

The legacy of the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** is overwhelmingly positive. By the time it was succeeded by the WTO, the average tariff on manufactured goods among industrialized countries had plummeted from around 40% in the late 1940s to less than 5%.

This drastic reduction directly fueled the post-war economic boom. World trade expanded exponentially, far outpacing global production growth. The predictability and stability provided by tariff bindings allowed companies to build international supply chains. The dispute settlement mechanism, while imperfect, resolved dozens of disputes peacefully and provided a forum for dialogue rather than escalating trade wars. In doing so, the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** demonstrated that multilateral cooperation could yield shared prosperity.

INHERENT LIMITATIONS AND CRITICISMS

Despite its successes, the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** had significant weaknesses.

- **Provisional Status:** It lacked a robust institutional framework. It was never ratified by national parliaments and operated without a formal secretariat until the 1960s. This "provisional" status made enforcement of rulings difficult.
- **Exclusion of Agriculture and Textiles:** Under U.S. and European pressure, agricultural trade was largely exempted from GATT rules, leading to massive subsidies and market distortions that harmed developing nations. Similarly, the Multi-Fibre Arrangement allowed developed countries to impose quotas on textile imports, contradicting the spirit of free trade.
- **Weak Dispute Resolution:** The dispute settlement process was based on "positive consensus," meaning the losing party could block the adoption of a panel ruling. This effectively allowed powerful nations to ignore adverse decisions.
- **Limited Membership for Developing Countries:** Initially, GATT was a club of developed nations. As developing countries joined, many felt the rules were biased toward the interests of industrial powers, offering them little in return for opening their markets.

THE ENDURING LEGACY OF THE GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND TRADE

The transition to the WTO did not render the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** obsolete. On the contrary, GATT 1994 remains a core

treaty of the WTO, covering trade in goods. Its principles—non-discrimination, national treatment, tariff binding, and transparency—continue to guide WTO operations and jurisprudence. The institutional weaknesses of GATT were precisely what motivated the creation of the WTO, with its permanent structure, a professional secretariat, and a binding dispute settlement system.

Moreover, the success of GATT provided a powerful template for integration in other regions. The European Union, the North American Free Trade Agreement, and other regional trade blocs all borrowed heavily from GATT's rulebook. In many ways, the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** proved that nations could trust a rules-based system more than power-based bilateral bargaining. It transformed trade from a zero-sum game into a positive-sum engine for development.

1. **Foundation for the WTO:** GATT's agreements were directly absorbed into the WTO's legal text.
2. **Proven Flexibility:** Contrary to predictions, a provisional agreement held together for nearly 50 years, adapting to changing economic realities.
3. **Norm-Setting Power:** The idea

that trade should be conducted according to mutually agreed rules, with disputes settled through arbitration rather than retaliation, became an international norm.

CONCLUSION: A BLUEPRINT FOR COOPERATION

Looking back, the **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)** stands as one of the most successful international accords in modern history. Born from crisis and designed as a temporary measure, it built the infrastructure for global trade liberalization over the second half of the 20th century. While its limitations eventually required a more robust replacement, the principles it enshrined remain the bedrock of the global trading system. In an era again marked by protectionist sentiments and trade tensions, the story of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade serves as a powerful reminder that openness, adherence to rules, and multilateral dialogue are not just idealistic goals—they are proven pathways to shared economic progress and peace. The legacy of GATT is not merely historical; it is a living framework that continues to shape how nations buy, sell, and prosper together.